

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)						
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	☐ ARMS COM	PLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0112146 DATE: 7/9/10	ARRIVE: <u>900</u>	DEPART	: <u>1100</u>			
FACILITY NAME: ATLANTIC BURIAL CASK	ET CO. DBA ABCO					
FACILITY LOCATION: 820 N.W. 57TH ST	ГКЕЕТ					
FT. LAUDERDAL	LE 33309					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:	THOMAS RALPH	PHONE: (954)587-68	888			
CONTACT NAME: CHRIS RALPH		PHONE:				
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/27/2006 / 11/ (effective date) (end d	/27/2011 late)					
	Facility Section					
DADTI. INCRECTION COMPLIANCE CTATI						
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN	<u>G</u>		(check v or box for each que			
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Owen Day			oox for each que	2stion)		
Brief Notes:						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still THOMAS If no, who is?:	RALPH?		⊠ Yes □]No		
If different, did the facility provide an administra 3. Is the facility contact still CHRIS RALPH? If no, who is?:	tive update within 30 da	ys?	- Yes Yes]No]No		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le	ay's inspection?east 15 days in advance?			☑No ☑No		

Emissions Unit Section 2 – 100 LB/HR INDUSTRIAL EQUIPMENT CREMATION UNIT IE43-PP11

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	⊠ Yes	□No
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Yes Yes	□No ⊠No
4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		□No □No
operation?	Yes	□No
d. Date of last VE test: 2/18/10 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)?		□No □No
If no, what was the problem (if known):		
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver? b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	· 🔯 Yes	⊠No □No □No
c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver? b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	-	□No□No□No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standa	ards?	⊠No
If yes, what reason?		<u> </u>
<u>. </u>		
PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check v box for each	only one question)
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	- Yes	⊠No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the		
secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at \Box 1,800 ¹ \Box 1,600 ² degrees was determined?	⊠ Yes	□No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)		
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
1) All temperature measurements	Yes	□No
2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;	₩ ₂₀	□ M ₀
monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations 3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on ()	X YesX Yes	□No ⊠No
4) Adjustments	Yes	□No
5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□No
6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□No
d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of	<u> </u>	— _{>} ,
when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markingse. Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)		∐No ⊠No
(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatical		△10
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes	□No
(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity	□ V 20	□ M ₀
exceeds 15% opacity?(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in	∐ Yes	∐No
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	Yes	□No
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check 🗹	only one
THE IV. DECOMPOSITOR DOLLAR DESIGNATION DE LA COMPOSITOR	box for each	question)
PEPOPE 4 400 1000 to 1 to		
1. If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐ Yes	
		No
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremati	on	
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremati process begins in the primary chamber?		□No
process begins in the primary chamber?	on	
process begins in the primary chamber?	on Yes	□No
process begins in the primary chamber? 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	on Yes ☐ Yes	
process begins in the primary chamber?	on Yes ☐ Yes	□No
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes Yes	No
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes Yes Yes Yes (check	No
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes Yes Yes Yes (check	No
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes Yes Yes Yes (check box for each	No
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes Yes Yes Yes (check box for each	No
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes Yes Yes Yes (check box for each	No

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE		(check only one box for each question)			
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manu	facturer's specifications?	Yes	□No		
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? 3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? If no, skip a. – b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?			□No □No □No □No		
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch. ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE		LIANCE			
Facility Section (continued)					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check v box for each	only one h question)		
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation comprising the facility; or any other similar minor 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 did not not make the facility provide written notification within 30 did not not make the facility provide written notification within 30 did not not make the facility provide written notification within 30 did not not make the facility provide written notification within 30 did not	cation of the facility or any emissions un administrative change at the facility?	its or - Yes	⊠No □No		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been			NoNoNoNoNoNoNo		
C.Pitters	7/12/10				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	7/12/11				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection			
COMMENTS:					